Scoring Criteria & Point Scales-RENEWABLE PROJECTS 9/14/21

	PERFORMANCE MEASURES Time from entry to move-in	Pts 5	RRH 5	PSH	DV 5	Data source
	RRH - On average, clients spent X days from entry to move- in (Goal: 30 days or <)					APR Q. 22.c
	25 or <	5				
	26-30	4				
	31-35	3				
	36-40	2				
	41-50	1				
	51 days or >	0				
2 !	Exits to Permanent Housing					
,	RRH - % persons move to PH (Goals 90%)	20	20		20	APR Q. 23 a & b
	95%-100%	20	20		20	AI N Q. 23 a Q b
	91%-94%	19				
	90%	18				
	85%-89%	17				
	80%-84%	16				
	75%-79%	14				
	70%-74%	12				
	65%-69%	10				
	60%-64%	8				
	55%-59%	6				
	50%-54%	4				
	49% OR <	0				
2	DOLL OF THE POLICE TO THE POLI			25		APR. Stayers: Q.5.a.8 +Leavers: Q.
3 I	PSH - % persons remain in or move to PH (goal 90%)	25		25		23 a&b
	95%-100%	25				
		24				
	90%	23				
	85%-89%	21 19				
	80%-84% 75%-79%	19				
	70%-74%	15				
	65%-69%	8				
	60%-64%	6				
	59% OR <	0				
ľ	New or Increased Income and Earned Income					
	% adults with increased earned income at last assessment					
	or exit.	0	0	0	0	APR Q. 19a3
ā	For 2021 NOFO, the Scorecard Committee will collect data and assign points but will not score due to Covid disruptions.					
	51% or >	15				
	46-50%	14				
	41-45%	13				
	36-40%	12				

	31 33%					
	26-30%	10				
	21-25%	9				
	16-20%	8				
	11-15%	6				
	5-10%	4				
	1-4%	2				
	1 1/0	_				
5	% adults with increased other (non-employment) income					
	at last assessment or exit.	15	15	15	15	APR Q. 19a3
	55%+	15	13	10	13	7.1. N. Q. 1303
	45-50%	14				
	40-45%	13				
	35-40%	12				
	30-35%	11				
	25-30%	10				
	20-25%	9				
	15-20%	8				
	10-15%	6				
	5-10%	4				
	1-4%	2				
6	Serving High Barrier Populations	5	5	5	5	Supplemental Application / Complete Table Q.6
	a. Serving Chronically Homeless					
	b. Having little or no income (use 30% of AMI)					
	c. # of persons with more than 1 disability					
	d. Having a criminal history with state mandated					
	exceptions					
	e. History of domestic violence	_				
	All five served	5				
	4 served	4				
	3 served	3				
	2 served	2				
	1 served	1				
	0	0				
_	D. L. O Ph 45 DO H					
7	• •				_	
	# items w/ error 5% or >		10	10	5	APR Q. 6 a,b & c.
	No errors	10				
	1 data element w/5% or > error rate	9				
	2	8				
	3	7				
	4	6				
	5	5				
	6	4				
	7	3				
	8	2				
	9	1				
	10 or>	0				

31-35%

11

8	How did you improve safety of your clients and how did you use data or other information to track and document improved safety?	5			5	Narrative response in the Supplemental Application, Q#8.	
9	Project is Cost Effective comparing cost per person with program specific MT average 100% of ave cost or less 101%-110% 111%-120% 121%-130% 131%-140% 141% or >	5 4 3 2 1 0	5	5	5	Data taken directly from 2020 e- LOCCS report and APRs for same period and adjusted for local FMR.	
10	LOCAL COORDINATION & ENGAGEMENT Identify the items your community has addressed and briefly describe each in 100 words or less (Participants Table excepted). 1. Inclusive Structure and Participation 2. Coordination with Federal, State, local, private and other organizations 3. Address the Needs of Victims of Domestic Violence 4. Addressing the Needs of LGBT individuals	5	5	5	5	Supplemental Application Q.#10 Narrative	
	 Demonstrate Coordination with PHAs Coordination with and Assistance in discharge planning efforts Housing First and Reducing Barriers Street Outreach procedures implemented Affirmative Outreach that further fair housing including to persons with limited English Strategies to prevent Criminalization of homelessness Increase in Rapid Rehousing CoC program funds supplemented with Mainstream 						
	Benefits and other assistance 6 or > 4 3 2	5 4 3 2 1				Supplemental Application.	
11	Local CoC Participants List (25 listings) 75% or > 50%-74 25%-49 <25%	5 5 4 3 0	5	5		Supplemental Application. Complete Participants Table - See Guide, Q8.b	
12	Needs and Gaps Analysis / Project Prioritization	5	5	5			

Identify what gap(s) in your local Needs and Gaps Assessment your project fills or how this project reflects your local needs and gaps assessment.

Supplemental Application. Q # 10.

Excellent descr. 5
Good Desc. 3-4
Fair desc. 2
Poor description 0-1

75 75